

REMARKS

This supplement is a replacement response to replace the response transmitted August 30, 07, to the office action dated May 30, 2007. In this supplement applicants have canceled claims 10-11 in order to bring the allowable subject matter to allowance quickly. Canceled claims 10-11 are removed from further consideration in this application.

Applicants are not conceding in this application that claims 10 and 11 are not patentable over the rejections and/or art cited by the Examiner, as the present claim amendments and cancellations are only for facilitating expeditious prosecution of the allowable subject matter noted by the Examiner. Applicants respectfully reserve the right to pursue these and other claims in one or more continuations and/or divisional patent applications.”

These remarks follow the order of the paragraphs of the office action. Relevant portions of the office action are shown indented and italicized.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicants submission filed on 3/19/2007 has been entered. Claims 2-3 and 5-10 have been canceled. Claims 1, 10-11, 14, and 20 have been amended. Claims 1-20 are pending in the application.

Reasons for Allowance

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The claims 1-9 and 12-20, set forth in the amended claimed invention of 3/19/2007. are allowed. It is not obvious from the prior art of record with regards to the claim limitations set forth in the base claim 1 and the base claim 20.

In response, the applicants respectfully express their appreciation for the allowance of claims 1-9 and 12-20.

Claim Rejections 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows: Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 11:

Claim 11 recites a computer program on a computer readable medium comprising program code. Claim 11 seeks patent protection for the computer program. Computer program per se is neither computer components nor statutory process. Thus, claim 11 is non-statutory.

Claim 10: Claim 10 employs a computer readable program as part of a seemingly patentable process. However claim 10 in reality seeks patent protection for (be computer program.

Computer program per so is neither computer components nor statutory process. Thus, claim 10 is non-statutory.

In response, the applicants respectfully state that claims 10 and 11 are canceled herewith in order to bring the allowable subject matter to allowance quickly. Canceled claims 10-11 are removed from further consideration in this application.

It is anticipated that this amendment brings the application to allowance of claims 1-9 and 12-20. Favorable action is respectfully solicited. In the unlikely event that any claim remains rejected, please contact the undersigned.

Please charge any fee necessary to enter this paper to deposit account 50-0510.

Respectfully submitted,

By: _____/Louis Herzberg/_____
Dr. Louis P. Herzberg
Reg. No. 41,500
Voice Tel. (845) 352-3194
Fax. (845) 352-3194

3 Cloverdale Lane
Monsey, NY 10952

Customer Number: 54856